

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,515	01/21/2000	Surya Prakash	06618-408001	5938
20985 7:	590 05/30/2003			
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER	
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500			MERCADO, JULIAN A	
SAN DIEGO, (CA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				53
.1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/489,515	PRAKASH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Julian A. Mercado	1745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the made patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	11 March 2003 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal ma der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits i .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	S
•	on of Claims			
,—	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-26</u> is/are pending in the			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-26</u> is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an on Papers	d/or election requirement.		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		on).
а) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has I	peen received.	
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. 99 120 and/or 121.	
Attachmen	••	Λ	O	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/489,515

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

This Office Action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed March 11, 2003.

Claims 1-6 and 8-26 are pending. (with claims 1-5 having been withdrawn from consideration)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 8 and 9 are presently dependent upon claim 7 (now canceled), which renders the claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cabasso et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,783,325)

Application/Control Number: 09/489,515

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 16 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cabasso et al. as applied to claims 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-22, 25 and 26 above.

Claims 10 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cabasso et al. as applied to claims 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-22, 25 and 26 above, in view of Kindler. (U.S. Pat. 5, 992,008)

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cabasso et al. as applied to claims 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-22, 25 and 26 above, in view of Scherer et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,656,386)

The above rejections have been discussed in detail in a prior Office Action and will not be reiterated. The rejection(s) is maintained for the reasons of record and for the additional reasons to follow in view of applicant's present amendment and arguments for patentability therewith.

Independent claims 6, 11, 19 and 26 now recite via the present amendment "a membrane plasticizer" as part of the catalyst ink. Upon closer consideration of Cabasso, the patentees are found to teach or at least suggest this feature in column 7 line 64 et seq: "[s]uitable solvents for the polyvinylidene fluoride and carbon blend include... N,N-dimethyl acetamide ("DMA")", the solvent notably dissolving the polyvinylidene fluoride. The examiner notes that DMA is the same solvent disclosed by applicant as that which provides a plasticizing effect. Thus, the claims are anticipated, and applicant's argument that Cabasso does not include a membrane plasticizer is not found persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/489,515

Art Unit: 1745

Arguments against Kindler or Scherer appear to be directed to these references failing to remedy alleged differences between Cabasso and the present claims. However, in view of Cabasso being maintained for the reasons discussed above, the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection(s) in view of Kindler or Scherer is subsequently maintained for the reasons discussed in the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian A. Mercado whose telephone number is (703) 305-0511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

jam May 20

May 29, 2003

STEPHEN KALAPUT BRIGARY EXAMINE

GRUU